

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wopto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/576,790	02/15/2007	Michele Lapelosa	NIT004WUS/AG/bp	5876
21254 MCGINN INT	7590 08/29/201 ELLECTUAL PROPE	EXAMINER		
8321 OLD COURTHOUSE ROAD			SMITH, NICHOLAS A	
SUITE 200 VIENNA, VA	22182-3817	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
,		1723		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/29/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Ī	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/576,790		LAPELOSA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	NICHOLAS A. SMITH	1723	

	NICHOLAS A. SMITH	1723							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence add	ress						
THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS AI	THE REPLY FILED 08 August 2011 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
 \(\)\[\)\[\]\[\)\[\]\[\]\[\]\[\]\[☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies; (1) an amendment, afficavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (a Request for Continued Examination (RGE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following the replication of the following the re								
The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing									
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire is Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (The period for reply extries on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FIRST RELECTION. See MEPE 796 307(i).								
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		(36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex- under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the s- set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Offi	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as						
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp	liance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of						
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS									
 ∑ The proposed amendment(s) flide after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because (a) ∑ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ∑ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below); 									
(c) ☑ They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or	ter form for appeal by materially re		the issues for						
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.							
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.1									
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1:		mpliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).						
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)									
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	·	•							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is profile status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ii be entered and an e	explanation of						
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: 1,2,8-13 and 21-23. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 									
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar.	vercome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fai	ils to provide a						
The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet.	t does NOT place the application is	n condition for allowar	nce because:						
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)									
13. Other:									
/Alexa D. Neckel/									
/Alexa D. Neckel/ Sunervisony Patent Evaminer Art Unit 1723									

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The claim amendments are not included in the finally rejected claims and therefore would require further search and/or consideration..

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The claim amendments are not included in the finally rejected claims and therefore would require further search and/or consideration. Furthermore, in regards to Applicant's argument that JP367 does not explicitly disclose a metallic body and an external shell surrounding the body, such a limitation is not included in the finally rejected claims.